Evidence Based Assessment in Complex Communication Need

Unit Code	2CP3D953			
Unit Title	Evidence Based Assessment in Complex Communication Need			
Unit Abbreviation	EBAComplex Communication			
Level of Study	7			
Credit Value	20	ECTS Value	10	
Home Department	Health Professi	ons		
Unit Coordinator	Dr Janice Murra	ау		
Keywords	Assessment, evidence based assessment, Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC), assistive technologies, complex communication needs (all ages)			
Unit Learning Outcomes	On completion of this unit students will be able to: 1. identify a range of theories that inform evidence based assessment			
	2. critically evaluate current assessment techniques as relevant to specific medical and speech and language diagnoses			
	3. identify and apply theoretical principles within an AAC assessment			
	apply theoretical principles in the construction of advanced clinical assessment in AAC			
	5. apply theoretical principles in the interpretation of assessment data			
Summative Assessment				
		уре	Weighting	Learning outcomes
	(highlight final element)			assessed

	1	Essay	25%	1,2
	2	Case study	75%	3,4,5
Employability				
and Sustainability	Outco	mes	Element of Assessment	
Outcomes	Analys	se real world situatio	2	
	Demo aware	nstrate professionali ness	1,2	
	Comm	nunicate effectively ι	dia 1,2	
	Apply	teamwork and leade	2	
	Manag reflect	ge own professional ively	1,2	
	Find, 6	evaluate, synthesise	and use informatio	n 1,2
		within social, enviror unity contexts	nmental and	1,2
	Use s	ystems and scenario	thinking	1,2
		ge with stakeholder/i ectives	nterdisciplinary	1,2

Assessment Strategies for each Element of Assessment	Summative Essay: 2000 words (+/- 10%) review of the literature. Case study: 2,500 (+/- 10%) word exploration of assessment principles in relation to one or more clients. The detail of this assessment can be negotiated to suit the individual student's clinical context. Both elements of assessment will be supported by students receiving formative feedback via tutor discussion on work plans.
Assessment Criteria for Unit/Elements of Assessment	Level 7 Grading Criteria
Non-Standard Minimum Pass Mark	N/A

Outline of the Unit

Brief Summary	This unit offers experienced speech and language therapists (SALT) the opportunity to reflect on their assessment practices within the field of AAC. AAC is an intervention and therefore spans many client groups across developmental and acquired domains. This unit has relevance to any SALT with a caseload that includes complex communication need where aided communication techniques may be relevant (e.g. the aphasias, dysarthrias, specific language impairment, learning difficulties). This unit is usually only available to practitioners with considerable clinical experience in the area of complex communication need. In discussion with tutors, exceptions may be made. This unit complements the Evidence Based Intervention in Complex Communication Needs but may be taken as a stand-alone unit.
Indicative	Exploration of theories of assessment will include (i) research

Content

methodologies that underpin assessment construction, (ii) consideration of models of speech, language and communication acquisition in children and adults, and (iii) consideration of models of impaired speech, language and communication.

The relationship between current assessment techniques and their relevance to specific medical diagnoses, e.g. motor neuron disease, cerebral palsy, and their related speech and language diagnoses, e.g. dysarthria, will be critiqued against a backdrop of holistic assessment.

Drawing from earlier unit content, current practices in AAC assessment will be explored. Students will critically evaluate the theoretical principles behind current AAC assessment techniques, e.g. message construction methodologies, symbol system evaluation, aided system evaluation.

Students will reflect on their learning and practice by constructing their own repertoire of evidenced clinical assessment in AAC, e.g. single case design, applications of cognitive neuropsychology, motor planning and learning.

Students will be able to critically evaluate AAC assessments from the robustness of data the assessment techniques can elicit. This evaluation will enhance clinical practice and inform the development of evidenced AAC assessment tools and techniques.

Learning Activities

Breakdown of Student Learning Activity

Type of Activity	%
Summative assessment	25%
Directed study	40%
Student-centred learning	35%

Mandatory
Learning &
Teaching
Requirements

Learning Resources

Essential Reading

Murray, J., & Goldbart, J. (2009). Cognitive and language acquisition in typical and aided language learning: A review of recent evidence from an aided communication perspective. Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 25, 7–34.

Raghavendra, P., Bornman, J., Granlund, M., & Bjorck-Akesson, E. (2007). The World Health Organization's International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: implications for clinical and research practice in the field of augmentative and alternative communication. Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 23, 349–361.

Schlosser, R.W (2000) The Efficacy of Augmentative and Alternative Communication: Toward Evidence-Based Practice Elsevier Science: London

Soto, G & Zangari, C (2009) Practically Speaking: Language, Literacy & Academic Development for Students with AAC Needs AAC Series: Brookes: London

Smith, M,M. (2005) Literacy and Augmentative and Alternative Communication, Elsevier: London

von Tetzchner, S, & Grove, N (Eds.) (2003), Augmentative and Alternative Communication: Developmental Issues .Whurr/Wiley: London.

Whitworth, A; Webster, J, & Howard, D (2013) (2nd Ed) A Cognitive Neuropsychological Approach to Assessment and Intervention in Aphasia: A clinician's guide, Psychology Press: London

World Health Organization. (2001). International classification of functioning, disability and health. Geneva: World Health Organization.

Additional Resources that Students Should Buy

Pring, T (2005) Research Methods in Communication Disorders Whurr: London

Schlosser, R.W (2000) The Efficacy of Augmentative and Alternative Communication: Toward Evidence-Based Practice Elsevier Science: London

Soto, G & Zangari, C (2009) Practically Speaking: Language, Literacy & Academic Development for Students with AAC Needs AAC Series:

	Brookes: London	
Special ICTS Requirements	Students will be expected to access the range of ICT facilities within the university; specifically some practicals will involve the use of iPads to explore the available AAC communication apps.	
Any Other	Journal of Augmentative and Alternative Communication	
Additional Resources	Journal of Assistive Technologies	
	Journal of Child Language Teaching and Therapy	
	Journal of Aphasia	
	Journal of Applied Psycholinguistics	
	Journal of Disability and Rehabilitation	

Administration

JACS Code	B630
Date of Approval	11 December 2012
Date of Most Recent Consideration	
Unit External Examiner	Annie Levis
Unit Assessment Board	